P.F. 14 68 93

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references. 1892-93
192
(L.R)

File No. 168 92

Serial Nos. ~~168 92~~ 1—9

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos. 1—9 (B)

Major ~~Part~~ B for December 1894

SUBJECT.

Appeal of Srikantaiya of Kollangi Arkalgud taluk regarding the Shanbhogi

Countermanded

WD 2283—GPS—20,000—14-6-20

RD
Entered
Bg

Memo.

Appeal No 184 of 1892-93.

Petitioner :—
Srikantaiya
of Kollangi, Arkalgud
Taluk.

Counter Petitioner
Chandrasekharaiya.

The Petitioner and the Counter Petitioner are brothers by birth and cousins by adoption (the latter being adopted by his father's elder brother.) The Baravard of the Shanbhogi of Kollangi Firka consisting of 6 villages was in the name of Petitioner's father till 1878, when, according to his desire, the Counter-petitioner was appointed.

In 1887, the Counter-petitioner represented to the Amildar that he and the other Hissedars

viz. the Petitioner and one Manjaiya had entered into an agreement to divide the Shanbhogi Jirka among themselves, each getting 2 villages as noted in the margin, that Baravard should be made according to it, and that as Srikantaiya (Petr) was engaged in other work, he (counter Petr) would do the work of his villages also. The other two Hissedars also having consented to this arrangement, the Amildar recommended it, and the Deputy Commissioner ordered that pending the arrangement of Scales by the Survey Department, the Amildar's ~~suggestion~~ proposal might be carried into effect.

(Vide orders of 12.8.87 & 24.2.88)

Bantx

Bhandaarakhaaiya. { 1 Kollangi, 2 Kakondahalli } Rs 1243-2-7

Srikantaiya (Petr) { 3 Abbur Hachigondahalli, 4 Kollur } Rs 1289-2-10

Manjaiya { 5 Hundapoora, 6 Kyatanhalli } Rs 877-8-3.

At the time of the Pakka Potagi Settlement which took place in 1889, the 4 villages going to the Shares of the Petitioner and the Counterpetitioner were grouped into one Scale with a Potagi of Rs 86½, and the other two villages into another Scale with a Potagi of Rs 39½.

On 21st May 1891, the Deputy Commissioner directed the Amildar

to inform the parties that the number of seales fixed at the Pakka Potgi Settlement would not be increased.

The Present appeal is ~~undesirable~~ against the above order. The Petitioner prays that he ~~should~~ might be registered as Shanbhag of the ~~the~~ his two villages and be allowed to do the duties. He ~~says~~ urges,-

(1) that the division of the Taluka into 3 parts was made and sanctioned by the Deputy Commissioner before the Potgi Settlement

(2) that he cannot see any reason for ~~unclassing~~ grouping the four villages into one Scale while the other two villages were made a separate Scale and given to Manjaiya

(3) that many small Scales similar to his have been made in the Taluk.

SV 22/5. R. Wade

Before the Government of H. H.
the Maharaja of Mysore

(10)

Appeal No 184 of 1892-93

Petitioner
Srikantaiya
of Kollangi
Arkalgud Taluk

Counter Petitioner
Chandrasekharaiya

Facts of the case.

In 1887 the Petitioner, the Counter Petitioner and one Manjia who were cousins effected a division of their Shanbhogi Jirika as shown below:—

To Petr. { 1 Abbur Machipondanhalli } having a
2 Kollur } benefit of Rs 1289

To Counter Petr. { 1 Kollangi
2 Kakondanhalli } Rs 1243

To Manjia { 1 Mundupodu
2 Kyatanhalli } Rs 877
another sharer.

The Deputy Commissioner approved of this arrangement— As however the Petitioner was employed on other duty the

Counter Petitioner, with the
consent of Petitioner, did the duties of
office of the two villages allotted
to Petitioner, in addition to
the two allotted to himself; but
at the Pakka Rotagi
Settlement made in 1889, these
four villages were grouped together
and made one Scale with a
Rotagi of Rs 86-8-0 while the
remaining two villages allotted
to Mangsa were made one
Scale with a Rotagi of Rs 39-8-0
The Petitioner's prayer for separation
of his villages was refused.
Hence the appeal.

The Counter Petitioner
did not appear though he
was served with notice.

Govt see no
reason why Appellants
Villages should not be
formed into a separate
Scale, & the Supdt of
Survey & Settlement
will be requested
to form them into

a separate Ferka,
though it may involve
also increase in the
amount of the Postage
allowance. — The Sub-

U.P.
2/6/94

Note for Chairman that in the
forming of Shantigiri Ferka
the existing groupings of villages
will only require slight revision
as far as possible

Ly — 13.6.94

Note.

Dr is informed
that the supt of Rev
Survey & Settlement
has been requested
to form these two
Villages into a
separate Ferka

U.P.
1/7

(General Serial No. 32.)

1892

No. 1420 (2)

Name of Petitioner. Sankumbiah Shanbog of Kolla

Village.

Taluk. Arkalgud Kasba

District. Hassan

Date of Arzi. 2-12-92

Date of Receipt. 8-12-92

Date of Disposal. L.R. 161-92-1

No. of Enclosures. Four

ABSTRACT.

The pet is the hereditary of the Shanbogi of Abbur, Anajigere Danakallu & Kattige. The Karnam has given a statement consenting to leave. In the pet's charge his hereditary village. The Dy. Comm. has ordered that the Shanbogy Charges for which Potige has been settled cannot be divided: Prays that he may be given his portion

SHR
29/12/92

Mysore Govt. Central Press Bangalore—7-9-92,—1000.

1-1-93

Copy of order produced with Petition No 235 dated 27 Feb 1893 Stamp sufficient

3. The appeal is against an order of the Dy Comr of Hassan dated 21 May 92 stating that the arrangement made at the Kolla Potige cannot be altered. Petitioner states that on his applying to the Amildar on 23rd June last for delivery to him of the Charge of 2 villages according to the arrangement made in 1888 prior to the Kolla Potige, the Amildar granted to him an endorsement dated 8th Sept 1892 intimating that the Dy Comr had passed the order above referred to, dated 21 May 92, & that no other order could be given

The Petr never moved the Dy Comr in the matter before the date of the endorsement for the first time on the date of the appeal would be within appeal & the endorsement states expressly that

(P.T.O.)

et immediately on receipt of the
2nd Comm? order, subordte was issued
- the Petr in accordance with its terms.
The second subordte dated 8th Septr 1892
cannot give a fresh starting point.
Draft endorsement & Petn to the
Honble Asst or Submitted to the Comm?:-
"Petr is informed that the endorsement
of the 8th Septr 1892 produced by the Petr
shows that the order of the
2nd Comm? appealed against dated
21st May 1891 was communicated
to the Petr by endorsement immediately
on receipt of it at the Dalhousie Police
and that this appeal cannot be
entertained as it was not presented
within the time prescribed by
law."
Pr
21/8



(1)

14.20 R ಮಾನ್ಯರ್. ಸ್ಪೆಷಲ್ ಲ್ಯಾಂಡ್ ಆಫೀಸರ್ ಸಾಹೇಬರವರಿಗೆ

8-12-92 ಅರ್ಜಿ ನಂಬರ್ H.S.N.0184

ಶೆಡ್ಯೂಲ್ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆ ತಾಲೂಕು ಹೋಬಳಿ ಗ್ರಾಮ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂಬರ್ ಎಷ್ಟು ಎಕರೆ ಗುಂಟೆ ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ಕೊಡಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದು

ಕೋರಿದ್ದು ಉಳುವಂತ. ಕೊಂಡ್ಲಂಸಿ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಿಗಳು ಇಲ್ಲಿಂದ. ಹಿರಂಸೈವ್ವನವರ ತಿಳಿಕರ

ಹಾಗೂ ನಂಜಪ್ಪ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆ ಆಫೀಸ್ ಮಾಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಕೆಳಿಹಾಗೆ ಸಾಹೇಬರವರ ಗಂಗಸೆನಂಜಿಯ್ಯ

ನವರಲ್ಲಿ ತಿರಲ್ಕೆ ಲಿಖಿತ

ಉಂಟು ಅರಕಲಗೂಡು ತಾಲೂಕಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕಸಿಕೆಯಿಂದ. ಸಣ್ಣ ಕಾಲ್ಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಿಲ್ಲೆ

ಕೊಂಡ್ಲಂಸಿ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಿಗಳು ಇಲ್ಲಿಂದ ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದು. ನನಗೂ ನಂಜಪ್ಪ ದೊಡ್ಡಪ್ಪನವರಿಗೆ

ಮಕ್ಕಳಿಲ್ಲದ ಕಾರಣ. ನಂಜಪ್ಪನವರಿಗೆ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ ಚಂದ್ರಶೇಖರಪ್ಪ ಎಂಬುವರೂ - ಇಬ್ಬರು ಮಕ್ಕಳು

ದೊಡ್ಡಪ್ಪನವರಿಗೆ ಮಕ್ಕಳಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂಬುವರೂ - ನಮ್ಮ ತಂದೆ ತಾಯಿ ಇರುವಾಗ

ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಿಗಳು ಇಲ್ಲಿಂದ ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದು. ನಂತರ ತಂದೆಯವರು ಹಿರಂಸೈವ

ರಲ್ಲಿ ನಮಗೆ ಕಾನೂನಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಇರುವ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಸಿಗಬೇಕಾದ ಪಾಲನ್ನು ಕೊಡಿಸಿ

ನಮಗೆ ಕೊಡಿಸಿದನಂತರ ಮಕ್ಕಳಿಲ್ಲದ ಕಾರಣ. ಇವರು ಕೆಲವು ವರ್ಷಗಳಿಂದ ನಾವು ಅವರ

ಹಿತಾಸಕ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ಬಯಸಿ ಅವರ ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ಸಾಗುವಳಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಬರುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇವೆ

ಎಲ್ಲಾ. ಉಳುವಂತ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಿಗಳು ಇಲ್ಲಿಂದ ಕೊಂಡ್ಲಂಸಿ. ಕಾಕೊಂಡ್ಲಿಯ ಉಂಬಿಗಾಲಿಗಳು

ಇಂಬಿಗಳು ಉಂಟಾಗಿ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಿಗಳು ಹಿಂದಿನಕಡೆಯವನು ಸಾಲಿಗಳು. ನಾವು ಸಿಬಿಗಳು. ಹಂಚಿನ

ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದರಲ್ಲಿ. ಕೊಂಡ್ಲಂಸಿ. ಕಾಕೊಂಡ್ಲಿಯ ಉಂಬಿಗಾಲಿಗಳು

ಬರಾಂದರುದಿಂದ ಚಂದ್ರಶೇಖರಪ್ಪನವರು - ಅಲ್ಲಿಂದ ಅಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಸೇರಿದ್ದು

ಕಲ್ಲಾಗಿದ್ದು ಉಂಬಿಗಾಲಿಗಳು. ಉಂಬಿಗಾಲಿಗಳು ಇದ್ದವು - ಕಾಲ್ಲಿಕನವರು. ಮಂಡಿಗೆ

ಇದ್ದು. ಉಂಬಿಗಾಲಿಗಳು. ಉಂಬಿಗೆ ಅವರು ನೆಂಬುವರು ಉಂಬಿಗಾಲಿಗಳು ಇದ್ದವು

ಉಂಬಿಗೆಯ ಪ್ರಕಾರ. ನಂಜಪ್ಪನವರು ಸಿಬಿಗೆಗಳು ಉಂಬಿಗಾಲಿಗಳು ಇದ್ದವು

ಬರಾಂದರದಲ್ಲಿ. ನಂಜಪ್ಪನವರು ಮೊಮ್ಮಕ್ಕಳು - ಕಾಲ್ಲಿಕಿಂತ ಬರಾಂದರುದಿಂದ ಇಳಿಯಬೇಕಾದರೆ

ಎಲ್ಲಾ. ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದು ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಿಗಳು ಇಲ್ಲಿಂದ ಸಿಬಿಗೆಗಳು ಇದ್ದವು ಕಲ್ಲಿನವರು. ನಾನು ಕೆಳಗೆ ಇಳಿದ

ಚಂದ್ರಶೇಖರಪ್ಪ ಎಂಬುವನೊಂದಿಗೆ ಅಲ್ಲಿಂದ ಕೆಳಗೆ ಇಳಿಯಲು ಕಲ್ಲಿನವರು ಇದ್ದವು - ಅರಕಲಗೂಡು

ಪಟ್ಟಣದಲ್ಲಿ. ಬರಾಂದರದಿಂದ ಚಂದ್ರಶೇಖರಪ್ಪ ಎಂಬುವರೂ - ಅರಕಲಗೂಡು

ಕಾಂತ್ಲಿಲಾಲ್ ದಾರರಲ್ಲಿ. ಮಳ್ಳಿ ಲಾಳಿಕೊಂಡ ಕೆಲವು ಕಲ್ಲುಗಳನ್ನು ಕಾಲ್ಲಿಕಿಂತ ಕಲ್ಲಿನವರು

ಕೆಳಗೆ ಇಳಿಯಲು ಕಲ್ಲಿನವರು ಇದ್ದವು

ಬರಾಂದರುದಿಂದ ಮಕ್ಕಳನ್ನು ಕೊಂಡ್ಲಂಸಿ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಿಗಳು ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದು

ಮಹನಸ್ಸು ದೊಡ್ಡದಿದೆ - ಬರಾಂದರುದಿಂದ ಇಳಿಯಲು ಕಲ್ಲಿನವರು. ಕೆಳಗೆ ಇಳಿಯಲು ಕಲ್ಲಿನವರು

ಸೂಚಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಸಿಬಿಗೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಳಗೆ ಇಳಿಯಲು ಕಲ್ಲಿನವರು ಇದ್ದವು

ಸಾಲ್ದಾಂಶ್ರಿ. ಹಾಸನ ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್ ಲೈಬ್ರರಿ ಅಸೋಸಿಯೇಷನ್ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು ಹಾಸನ ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್ ಲೈಬ್ರರಿ ಅಸೋಸಿಯೇಷನ್ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳು ಹಾಸನ ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್ ಲೈಬ್ರರಿ ಅಸೋಸಿಯೇಷನ್ ಖಜಾಂಚಿಗಳು ಹಾಸನ ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್ ಲೈಬ್ರರಿ ಅಸೋಸಿಯೇಷನ್ ಕಾರ್ಯಕಾರಿ ಸಮಿತಿ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಹಾಸನ ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್ ಲೈಬ್ರರಿ ಅಸೋಸಿಯೇಷನ್ ಸಲಹೆಗಾರರು ಹಾಸನ ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್ ಲೈಬ್ರರಿ ಅಸೋಸಿಯೇಷನ್ ಸದಸ್ಯ

ಳು ಹೃದಯಲ್ಲಿ ತುಂಬಿರುತ್ತೆ- ಭಕ್ತಿ ಉಟ್ಟಿಸುತ್ತೆ. ಕಲ್ಲು ಅಥವಾ ಲೋಹದಿಂದ ಮಾಡಿರುವ ಮೂರ್ತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ. ಅಲಂಕಾರಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಹೂವು ಇಡುತ್ತೇವೆ- ಆದರೆ ಮಾಂಸದಿಂದ ಮಾಡಿರುವ ದೇಹಕ್ಕೆ ಹೂವಿನ ಅಲಂಕಾರವಿಲ್ಲ. ಹೃದಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾತ್ರ ಹೂವಿನ ಅಲಂಕಾರ ಮಾಡಿರುತ್ತೇವೆ.

2 ಇಷ್ಟು ದಿವಸ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಇದ್ದು ಇಲ್ಲಿಯವರ ಪ್ರೀತಿ ಅಭಿಮಾನಕ್ಕೆ ಪಾತ್ರರಾಗಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಇಲ್ಲಿಂದ ಹೊರಟು ಎಷ್ಟೋ ದೂರ ಹೋಗುತ್ತೀರಿ- ಎಷ್ಟೋ ದಿನಗಳಲ್ಲಿ. ಆದರೆ ಇಲ್ಲಿಯವರನ್ನು ಮರೆಯಬೇಡಿ. ನಂಬಿಕೆಯಿಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಕೆಲಸ ಚೆನ್ನಾಗಿ ಮಾಡಿ- ಅಷ್ಟೇ ಸಾಲದು ಆದರ್ಶವಾಗಿ ಬಾಳಿ ತೋರಿಸಿ.

ಅಂತಿಮವಾಗಿ ನಾನು ಹೇಳುವುದೇನೆಂದರೆ ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ ಜೀವನ ಸಾಗಿಸುವವರಲ್ಲಿ. ಆದರೆ ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯವಾಗಿ ಬಾಳುವುದು ಕಷ್ಟ. ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಆದರ್ಶ ಜೀವನ ಬೇಕು. ಸಾಧನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯವಾಗಿರಿ. ಆದರೆ ಆದರ್ಶವಾಗಿ ಬಾಳಿ ತೋರಿಸಿ. ಇಷ್ಟು ಹೇಳಿ ನನ್ನ ಮಾತು ಮುಗಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ನಂತರ ಮಾತನಾಡಿದ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಎಂ. ಪಂಡಿತ್ ಅವರು ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಕ್ಷಿಪ್ತವಾಗಿ ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು. ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಹಕರಿಸಿದವರಿಗೆ ಧನ್ಯವಾದ ಅರ್ಪಿಸಿದರು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಶೆಟ್ಟಿಯವರು ನಮ್ಮ ಮಾತು ಮುಗಿಸಿದರು.

20-00 92
24-3-93

No. 1420

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT,

21 March 1893

24

(3)

Endorsement granted to Srikantaiya of Kollangi Arkalgud Taluk, Hassan District, L.R. 161-92-2

in reply to his Petition Registered No. 1420 dated 2-12-92 appealing against the order of the Dy Commr of Hassan No 125 of 21-5-91, declining to ~~and~~ divide, among Rts and 2 other Hissadars, the Shanbhogi Circle ~~consisting~~ of Kollangi & 5 other villages in the Arkalgud Taluk.

Petitioner is informed that the endorsement of the 8th September 92 produced by him shows that the order of the Dy Commr appealed against of 21st May 1891 was communicated to the Rts by endorsement, immediately on receipt of it at the Taluk Cutchery, and that this appeal, ~~filed~~ nearly 15 or 16 months after ~~the passing~~, cannot be admitted, as it was not presented within the time prescribed by law.

2. The enclosures are herewith returned.

24/3

"By Order"

Draft.

Chief Secretary.

S.K.

Mysore Govt. Central Press, Bangalore.—9-2-93—2000.

Draft for approval

Submitted to the Commission.

HK

31/3

1420 of 1892

2352
2851

(General Serial No. 32.)

1893

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT
CAMP Mysore
REG'D. 400
DATE 9/6/93

Camp Regd No: 400
3374

Name of Petitioner. Srikantaiya

Village. Kollangi

Taluk. Arakalgud

District. Hassan

Date of Arzi. 12 6 93

Date of Receipt. 19 6 93

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. — Ten —

L. R. 681 - 92 - 3

ABSTRACT.

Resubmitting Rep[t] Application regarding petitioners for the Shanbogueship Kollangi & other villages in Arakalgud Taluk with the necessary Court Fee Stamps affixed

Counsel Case

Application for review - Stamp sufficient - In time Submitted for orders.

Petitioner Srikantia appealed against an endorsement dt 8-9-92 issued to him by the Amildar of Arkalgud in pursuance of the Dy Commr's order No 125/182 dt 25-5-91. As the endorsement contained a note that the Dy Commr's order of May 1891 had been forthwith communicated to "Petitioner" & that no further orders could be passed, the Petitioners appeal, which was received in Dec[r] 1892 was considered out of time and rejected.

The Pet[r] explains that the Deputy Commr's order of May 1891 was not communicated to him, and in support of this allegation he has produced an endorsement since obtained from the Amildar from which it appears that the person who is referred to merely as "Petitioner" in the Endors[t] of 8 Sept[r] 92 to whom the Dy Commr's order of May 1891 was communicated, was one Chandrasekharia, who had petitioned in 1891, and not the present Petitioner Srikantia

The Petitioner asserts that he was not aware of the Dy Commr's order of May 1891 until the Amildar referred to it in the endorsement of 8 Sept[r] 1892 in reply to a representation submitted by him to the Amildar to effect being given

...e partition between him & his
...sharers of the Shambhaji charge
...Kollanji & other villages.

In the absence of the explanation
...given, the endorsement 98-99
...did not be understood as referring
...any one but the present petitioner
...Joshi to whose petition it was
...ined - In the light of the expla
...tion now given, the reason
...n for rejecting the appeal is
...orrect, and the appeal is in
...ct.

The appeal is expressly against the
...mmr's order No 125 dated 25 May 1897
...ned by the Asstt Dr's endorsement
...Sept 1892, but as that order was
...t passed upon any representation
...mitted by the Petitioner to the Dr
...mmr -; Petitioner's appeal from
...the Asstt Dr's endorsement would seem
...t lie to the Dr Commissioner.

The merits of the case are briefly
...follow :-

...& 2 other Sharers named
...Khandu Lakharia & Manjia took out
...of the 6 villages to the Shambhaji
...which they were entitled & prayed
...that their petition may be ordered &
...Saravand & given effect to. As
...Srikantra was employed on the Coll Commn, he could come back,
...her duty it was arranged that Khan
...Lakharia should look after his villages
...addition to his own - The Dr Commr
...proved of this on the 24 Feb 1888.
...Sakka Kotaji settlement took place
...subsequently, at which, according to Petr,
...the 4 villages including Petr's, the disti
...which Khandu Lakharia was doing.
...are clubbed into one Pirka. In 1891
...Khandu Lakharia seems to have asked
...Dr Commr to increase the Scale fixed

GOVERNMENT PRESS MYSORE

The Dy Comrs order is vague but from the terms of it, it is doubtful if the arrangement made at the Kotagi settlement was as represented by Petitioner.

at the Kotagi, but the Dy Comr declined to do it and ordered that the arrangement made at the Kotagi should be conformed to. On 23rd June 1892 the Petr represented to the Amildar that he would himself look after the duties of his 2 villages and prayed for an order to give him charge of the office, but the Amildar said that inasmuch as the Dy Comr had passed the aforesaid order in May 1891, he could give no order. The Petr thereupon made no representation to the Dy Comr, but has appealed to this office.

BB 1/8

In account the attached should be sent up as a brief report and put up to H. AH

1/8

19/6

PETITION DEPARTMENT
REGD. NO. 33744R
DATE 22-6-93

19/6/93

(6)

30-6-94

Pet 335

20000/8092

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE, 23-8-93

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

(8)

3374

The accompanying Petition No. 14209 ~~2264~~

dated 2nd Dec/92 ~~426~~ 12 June 93 ~~Abstract/93~~ received at the

Dewan's Office from Sreekanteah of Kallangi, Arkalgud Kasba, appealing against the Deputy Commr's orders regarding his ~~claim~~ claim to the Shanbhogi of Abbur & 2 other villages

is forwarded, together with ~~its~~ their

enclosures, to the Deputy Commr Hassan District, for submission of Proceedings with a brief report on the facts of the case and on the points taken in the petitions

2 The Petitions should be returned.

K.R. 161-92-4

By Order,

Abstract (Council order)

Chief Secretary.

M. BANGALORE, 18th 19 August 1893.

23

M. G. C. P. Bangalore.—21-11-92—3,000.

(General Serial No. 30)

13886
24 APR. 94
1894
DEWAN OF MYSORE

9

To be posted for hearing as ordered on Petition - Notices to be issued to Pattr & Chandrasekharia
BR 20/4

From

The Dy Commissioner
Kaveripatam Division

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

R. 161-92-5

Dated 21st April 1894

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 1 file 11/1

General No. 770 of 1894

No. 6

In reply to No. 365 D/19 dated 6th Aug 1893

SUBJECT

Submitting report on the petitions from Sreekantiah of Hollangi, Chikballapur Taluk, appealing against the Dy Commrs order regarding his claim to the Shanbhogue of Hobenahalli &c.

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

Pattr Brandr 24/4/94

Rec'd 25/4.

Deputy Commissioner's office
Hassan District (9)

No 770
S

Dated Hassan 21st April 1894.

From,

P. L. Purnaiya Esquire
Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

To,

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to Dewan's official Memo No 305 of the 19th August 1893, forwarding for a brief report on the facts of the case and on the points taken in the petitions, two petitions from Sreekantiah of Kollangi Arkulgud Taluk, appealing against the order of this office regarding his claim to the Shanbogi of Aldur and two other Villages, I have the honor to state that in June 1887, the Karawardar Shanboque Chendrasekariah of Kollangi Pirka, presented a petition to the Amildar of Arkulgude praying that as per division effected of his Shanbogi Pirka between himself, his brother Sreekantiah the

the appellant, and Meanjiah, his elder father's
son, their names may be registered in the
Karaward and that the work may be taken
from them as detailed in the margin -

2. The Amildar forwarded the above
petition to this office for orders with a
~~recommendation~~ that the petitioners prayer
may be granted and that by doing so
the work will be carried on with great
facility. -

3. An order was issued to the Amildar
in this office № 482 of the 12th August 1887,
that pending the division of Scales of
Pirkas the work may be taken by those
that were recommended by him. -

4. In regulating the charges of shan-
bogis the Survey Department devided it
only into two Scales viz that the first
four named above as one and the
last two as another. On this it was
ordered in this office № 125/184 dated
21st May 1891 that as there was no
reason to change the arrangement
made by the Survey Department
in settling the Pakka Potgi, the
petitioner

Name of the Village	Sts annual Revenue	Whose share the Village pays Rs. as Cowle to	
1. Kollangi	1065-13-1	Bhendrasakariah	Who should do the duty
2. Kakondanahalli	177-5-6	Bhendrasakariah	Bhendrasakariah
3 Alalur Kshigondnahali	765-1-"	Appellant Sreekantiah	— do —
4 Kollura	524-1-10		
5 Irandagonde	638-12-3	Meanjiah	Meanjiah
6 Kyatanahalli	238-12-"		

petitioner should be informed that these persons could not be named for two charges. Besides it was noticed that although before the regulation of the Scales or charges three persons were permitted according to their desire to do the work, yet as a matter of fact only two divisions had been effected by them which only two individuals were working after:-

5. The Polāgi Settlement seems to have been effected in the year 1889 by alloting Rs 86-8-0 to the aforesaid first four Villages and Rs 39-8-0 to the other two Villages.-

6. The Vernacular Proceedings ending with Ar Kulgude Amildar's report № 825 dated 27th March 1894. are herewith Submitted.-

I have the honor to be
Sir-
your most obedient Servant

N. Narayan

Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

(11)

Appeal No 184 of 1892-93.

Petitioner
Srikantāiya
of Kollangi
Arkalgud Taluk

Counter Petitioner
Chandrashekharaiya

L.R. 161-92-do

Decision

In 1887 the Petitioner the Counter Petitioner and one Manjaya who were cousins effected a division of their Shanbhogi Firka as shown below:—

To Petitioner,—
1 Abbur Machigondanhalli } having a Jerib of Rs 1289.
2 Kollur

To Counter Petitioner,—
1 Kollangi } " Rs 1243
2 Kakondanhalli

To Manjaya, another sharer,—
1 Mundugodu } " Rs 877.
2 Kyatanhalli

2. The Deputy Commissioner approved of this arrangement. As however the Petitioner was employed on other duty, the Counter Petitioner, with the consent of Petitioner, did the duties of the office of the two villages allotted to Petitioner, in addition to the two allotted to himself; but at the Pakka Polāgi Settlement made in 1889, these four villages were grouped together and made one Scale & with a Polāgi of Rs 86-8-0, while the remaining two villages allotted to Manjaiya were made one Scale with a Polāgi of Rs 39-8-0. The Petitioner's prayer for separation of his villages was refused. Hence the appeal.

3. The Counter Petitioner did not appear though he was served with notice

4. Government see no reason why appellants' villages should not be formed into a separate scale, and the Superintendent of Survey and Settlement will be requested to form them into a separate Zilla, though it may involve a small increase in the amount of the Polaji allowance. The Government are of opinion, that in the forming of Shanbhogi Zillas, the grouping of villages actually existing should be followed as far as possible.

By Order

Chief Secretary.

Redraft

22831-314/IV
O.Z.146-93/20 17.6.94.

Copy to the Deputy Commr Hassan District with the records received with his Rec 770 of 21.4.94

Copy to the Supdt of Revenue Survey & Settlement

By Order

Chief Secretary.

Mysore Dewan's Office
Petition Branch

1420
A.S. 184

Dated 17 June 1894.

30-6-94

12

Endorsement granted to Srikantaiya of Kollangi Arkalgud Taluk, in reply to his petition No 1420/A.S.184, dated 2nd December 1892, appealing against the order of the Deputy Commr of Hassan refusing to separate the villages of Abbur Machagondanahalli & Kollangi from the Sheanbhog Firka of Kollangi he is Shanbhog from ~~into his scales~~.

N.R. 16-92

Petitioner is informed that the Superintendent of Revenue Survey and Settlement has been requested to form his two villages into a separate Firka.

2. The enclosures are returned.

By Order.

Chief Secretary.

Draft (Council order)

S.V.

BR

17/6/94

(General Serial No. 30.)

(13)

1894. 7156.

Submitted to the Council
Cf 5 6/12

From The Supt Mysore Rev Survey
Pt. 325

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

L.R. 161-92-8

Dated 3rd December/94

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. /

General No. 333
No.

In reply to Chief Secy's Memo No 22831-31 R.F. 126-93 dated 7/17th June/94

SUBJECT. Soliciting further sanction for an additional sum of Rs 18 to the village officers of Kalangi & Kakondanhalli & Kallur & Alur Manchanadanhalli & Arkalgud Hobli for payment of fluid remn.

Sanctioned vide 26/12/94

Draft Prs. 4/12/12

Drafted JKR 19/12

Pt Pet 4/12

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

No 333

Survey Superintendent's Office
Bangalore 3rd December 1894
(13)

To,

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore

Sir,

With reference to your Memo
No. 22831-31 dated 14th June 1894
P.7 146-93
forwarding copy of Government
Decision on appeal No. 184 of 1892-
-93 I have the honor to bring to
the notice of Government that
in fixing the final remunera-
tion of village Officers of —
Kolangi and Kakondanhalli &
Kallur and Abur Marchgowdan
halli

halli Sirkalgud Taluk as separate charges the scale of remuneration will amount to Rs 104.8.0. The amount of Rs 86.8.0 was sanctioned in Government Proceedings No 2766-69/31 dated 12th July 1889 for the above 4 villages which originally formed one group. I request therefore that further sanction may now be accorded to an additional sum of Rs 18.

I, Vernacular statement showing the settlement of final remuneration is herewith forwarded

I

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obed.t Servant
H. Smith
Supdt. Mysore Revenue
Survey

Pro: of the Govt of Mysore
Read:

Letter No 333 dated 3rd Decr 1894 from the Supdt of Mysore Rev: Survey, stating that for the separation of Kolanji, Kallondanhalli Kallur and Abbur Iranchegondanhalli villages, Closed Talukd, now forming a single charge into two circles, the one consisting of the first two villages and the other of the second two villages, as directed in Govt decision in appeal 184 of 92-93, a sum of Rs 18 is required in addition to Rs 86-8 sanctioned as final remuneration for the offices of these villages in Government Order No 2766-69/31 and soliciting sanction for the additional sum of Rs 18

Order

Dated Bangalore

Sanctioned

Late Reference
L.S. 6-92
(14)

L.R. 161-92-9

✓

✓

No 10469-24 / Pol.F. 146-93 Dy 16-12-94 / 23

Reg'd
(R.O. order)
S.V.R.

20/12
Chief Secretary

To The Supdt Revenue Survey
Dy Comm- Hassan
Comptroller

21/12/12

Gen. Serial No. 31.]

From The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore

To The Supdt Revenue Survey & Assessment Commissioner

Dated 16th Dec 1924

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.

No.

Sanctioning a sum of Rs 18 as remarked by Survey Supdt for separating & forming into 2 circles, Kolangi, Kakkondanhalli Kallur, and Abburmachegondanhalli, Arkalgud Taluk